IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI

OA No. 48/2010

JWO DR Mundiyara .....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others .....Respondents

For petitioner: Retd. Major K. Ramesh, Advocate.

For respondents: Ms. Tinu Bajwa, Advocate with Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR, CHAIRPERSON.
HON'BLE LT. GEN. M.L. NAIDU, MEMBER.

ORDER

29.01.2010

Heard.

Issue notice. Ms. Tinu Bajwa, Advocate appearing for the respondents accepts notice.

So far as the question of re-employment is concerned the reason given by the respondents is that his hearing aid has been deteriorated, hence, there is no justification to give re-employment. However, looking to the peculiar facts of this case, we propose to give one more chance to petitioner to be examined by the Medical Board and if Medical Board considers him that his hearing equity has not deteriorated so low then authorities may consider the case for grant of re-employment or pension as advised by the Medical Board. Petition is disposed of with above directions. No order as to costs. Dasti.

A.K. MATHUR
(Chairperson)

M.L. NAIDU
(Member)

New Delhi
January 29, 2010